Fişa suspiciunii de plagiat / Sheet of plagiarism's suspicion	Indexat la: 00239.06

Opera suspicionată (OS) Suspicious work	Opera autentică (OA) Authentic work

OS	HAŞ, Voichiţa, HAŞ, Ioan, PAMFIL, Doru, COPÂNDEAN, Ana. Characterization of „Turda" maize germplasm for the chemical composition of the grain. *Romanian Agricultural Research,* no. 27. 2010. p.59-67.
OA	HAŞ, V.; HAŞ, I.; PAMFIL, D.; COPÂNDEAN, A. and CÂMPEAN, S. Evaluation of "Turda" maize germplasm for phenotypic variability in grain chemical composition. *Maydica,* 54 .2009. p.313-320.

Incidenţa minimă a suspiciunii / Minimum incidence of suspicion	
p.59:01s – p.67:06d	p.313:24s – p.320:34d
p.63:Figure 1	p.315:Figure 1
p.61:Table 1	p.314:Table 1

Fişa întocmită pentru includerea suspiciunii în Indexul Operelor Plagiate în România de la
Sheet drawn up for including the suspicion in the Index of Plagiarized Works in Romania at
www.plagiate.ro

Notă: p.72:00 semnifică textul de la pag.72 până la finele paginii. p.00:00 semnifică ultima pagina în întregime

Notes: p.72:00 means the text of page 72 till the end of the page. p.00:00 means the last page, entirely.

Argumentarea calificării

Nr. crt.	Descrierea situaţiei care este încadrată drept plagiat	Se confirmă
1.	Preluarea identică a unor pasaje (piese de creaţie de tip text) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără precizarea întinderii şi menţionarea provenienţei şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	✓
2.	Preluarea a unor pasaje (piese de creaţie de tip text) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, care sunt rezumate ale unor opere anterioare operei autentice, fără precizarea întinderii şi menţionarea provenienţei şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	
3.	Preluarea identică a unor figuri (piese de creaţie de tip grafic) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără menţionarea provenienţei şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	✓
4.	Preluarea identică a unor poze (piese de creaţie de tip grafic) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără menţionarea provenienţei şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	
5.	Preluarea identică a unor tabele (piese de creaţie de tip structură de informaţie) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără menţionarea provenienţei şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	✓

6.	Republicarea unei opere anterioare publicate, prin includerea unui nou autor sau de noi autori fără contribuție explicită în lista de autori	
7.	Republicarea unei opere anterioare publicate, prin excluderea unui autor sau a unor autori din lista inițială de autori.	✓
8.	Preluarea identică de pasaje (piese de creație) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără precizarea întinderii şi menționarea provenienței, fără nici o intervenție care să justifice exemplificarea sau critica prin aportul creator al autorului care preia şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	
9.	Preluarea identică de figuri sau reprezentări grafice (piese de creație de tip grafic) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără menționarea provenienței, fără nici o intervenție care să justifice exemplificarea sau critica prin aportul creator al autorului care preia şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	
10.	Preluarea identică de tabele (piese de creație de tip structură de informație) dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără menționarea provenienței, fără nici o intervenție care să justifice exemplificarea sau critica prin aportul creator al autorului care preia şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	
11.	Preluarea identică a unor fragmente de demonstrație sau de deducere a unor relații matematice care nu se justifică în regăsirea unei relații matematice finale necesare aplicării efective dintr-o operă autentică publicată, fără menționarea provenienței, fără nici o intervenție care să justifice exemplificarea sau critica prin aportul creator al autorului care preia şi însuşirea acestora într-o lucrare ulterioară celei autentice.	
12.	Preluarea identică a textului (piese de creație de tip text) unei lucrări publicate anterior sau simultan, cu acelaşi titlu sau cu titlu similar, de un acelaşi autor / un acelaşi grup de autori în publicații sau edituri diferite.	
13.	Preluarea identică de pasaje (piese de creație de tip text) ale unui cuvânt înainte sau ale unei prefețe care se referă la două opere, diferite, publicate în două momente diferite de timp.	

Notă:

a) Prin „proveniență" se înțelege informația din care se pot identifica cel puțin numele autorului / autorilor, titlul operei, anul apariției.

b) Plagiatul este definit prin textul legii[1].

> „ *…plagiatul – expunerea într-o operă scrisă sau o comunicare orală, inclusiv în format electronic, a unor texte, idei, demonstrații, date, ipoteze, teorii, rezultate ori metode ştiințifice extrase din opere scrise, inclusiv în format electronic, ale altor autori, fără a menționa acest lucru şi fără a face trimitere la operele originale…*".

Tehnic, plagiatul are la bază conceptul de **piesă de creație** care[2]:

---

[1] Legii nr. 206/2004 privind buna conduită în cercetarea ştiințifică, dezvoltarea tehnologică şi inovare, publicată în Monitorul Oficial al României, Partea I, nr. 505 din 4 iunie 2004

[2] ISOC, D. *Ghid de acțiune împotriva plagiatului: bună-conduită, prevenire, combatere*. Cluj-Napoca: Ecou

„…este un element de comunicare prezentat în formă scrisă, ca text, imagine sau combinat, care posedă un subiect, o organizare sau o construcție logică şi de argumentare care presupune nişte premise, un raționament şi o concluzie. Piesa de creație presupune în mod necesar o formă de exprimare specifică unei persoane. Piesa de creație se poate asocia cu întreaga operă autentică sau cu o parte a acesteia…”

cu care se poate face identificarea operei plagiate sau suspicionate de plagiat[3]:

„…O operă de creație se găseşte în poziția de operă plagiată sau operă suspicionată de plagiat în raport cu o altă operă considerată autentică dacă:

i) Cele două opere tratează acelaşi subiect sau subiecte înrudite.

ii) Opera autentică a fost făcută publică anterior operei suspicionate.

iii) Cele două opere conțin piese de creație identificabile comune care posedă, fiecare în parte, un subiect şi o formă de prezentare bine definită.

iv) Pentru piesele de creație comune, adică prezente în opera autentică şi în opera suspicionată, nu există o menționare explicită a provenienței. Menționarea provenienței se face printr-o citare care permite identificarea piesei de creație preluate din opera autentică.

v) Simpla menționare a titlului unei opere autentice într-un capitol de bibliografie sau similar acestuia fără delimitarea întinderii preluării nu este de natură să evite punerea în discuție a suspiciunii de plagiat.

vi) Piesele de creație preluate din opera autentică se utilizează la construcții realizate prin juxtapunere fără ca acestea să fie tratate de autorul operei suspicionate prin poziția sa explicită.

vii) In opera suspicionată se identifică un fir sau mai multe fire logice de argumentare şi tratare care leagă aceleaşi premise cu aceleaşi concluzii ca în opera autentică…”

Transilvan, 2012.

[3] ISOC, D. *Prevenitor de plagiat*. Cluj-Napoca: Ecou Transilvan, 2014.

Maydica 54 (2009): 313-320

EVALUATION OF "TURDA" MAIZE GERMPLASM FOR PHENOTYPIC VARIABILITY IN GRAIN CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

V. Has[1,*], I. Has[2], D. Pamfil[2], A. Copandean[1], S. Campean[2]

[1] *Agricultural Research and Development Station, str. Agriculturii, 27, 401100, Turda, Romania*
[2] *University for Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Calea Manastur 3-5, 400372, Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

Received August 1, 2009

ABSTRACT - Maize grain has many and diverse uses in the food and feed industry. The diversity of applications requires characteristics of quality in accordance to that. To examine phenotypical diversity in grain content, a total of 754 maize samples were evaluated for their grain quality attributes: 265 local populations (landraces); 59 synthetics/composites and 430 "TURDA" inbred lines. Inbred lines were on average the most divergent in grain starch concentration (range value 19.9) as compared to landraces (range value 11.8) and synthetics (range value 12.5). The grain oil and ash content showed high variability among the genotypes. The quality attributes in most of the cases showed positive phenotypic correlation except grain starch contents which was negatively correlated. The objectives of this study were: i) to evaluate the potential of maize "TURDA" germplasm in according to its grain quality content, such as: protein, oil, fiber, ash and starch concentration; ii) to estimate the extent of phenotypical variability and correlation for various quality components and iii) to formulate a selection criterion in a breeding program.

KEY WORDS: Maize germplasm; Phenotypical diversity; Grain chemical composition.

INTRODUCTION

Maize is one of the most important grain crops produced in Romania, with over 2 million hectares in production. This crop is an integral part of our agriculture and has a potential to compete with its multi-products.

In Romania, and in almost all of the European maize-growing countries, the diffusion of maize hybrids, possessing a superior yield, caused a progressive substitution of local populations. Therefore, the genetic variability of the cultivated maize germplasm was reduced over the past five decades, in term of both number of alleles and genetic diversity across hybrids (Reif *et al.*, 2005). The necessity to collect and maintain the traditional maize landraces has emerged for the first time in past decades, to avoid a significant loss of the genetic variability existing in Europe for this species. In different countries, collections of populations (landraces, local varieties and so on) were activated (Lavergne *et al.*, 1991; Berardo *et al.*, 2009).

Because maize is a relevant food source, the quantification of the grain constituents with a nutritional role is important for the best exploitation of the different genotypes. In this context, the traditional germplasm represents a good source of genetic variability to explore and may help to identify the most suitable materials for the development of more nutritious foods.

Specifically, different industries have different requirements of maize for their particular use. The wet milling industry would like soft starch, and low protein content, while hard starch is require for dry milling and for masa production. The feed industry would gain value from maize with increased energy content, i. e. maize with higher oil content, and from increased protein content and a better amino acid balance. The genetic variability to modify maize grain composition to satisfy all of these requirements has been frequently reported among strains (Smith, 1990). However, it is necessary to explore germplasm and genetic variability for such quality-related traits and their association with grain yield and other yield attributes.

Knowledge about germplasm diversity and genetic relationships among breeding materials could be an invaluable aid in maize improvement strategies, maize germplasm could be easily managed, using recurrent selection method (Lavergne *et al.*, 1991; Mohammadi and Prasanna, 2003). Studies have

* For correspondence (hasvoichita@yahoo.com).

TABLE 1 - *Means values, range of variation, and coefficients of variation (CV) for grain content in TURDA germplasm.*

Trait		Grain content				
		Starch	Oil	Protein	Fiber	Ash
Germplasm	Range	%				
Local Populations (Count=265)	Minimum	57.1	3.8	11.2	3.3	0.03
	Mean	64.9	5.4	13.7	5.3	2.3
	Maximum	68.9	9.1	15.6	7.3	7.2
	Variance	3.81	0.44	0.71	0.62	1.38
	Standard Deviation	1.95	0.66	0.84	0.78	1.17
	Standard Error	1.95	0.04	0.05	0.78	0.07
	Confidence Level (95.0%)	0.23	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.14
	C.V.%	3.0	12.3	6.2	14.9	51.1
"Turda" Synthetics (Count=59)	Minimum	60.1	3.5	11.7	3.6	0.01
	Mean	65.9	5.4	13.6	5.4	2.1
	Maximum	72.6	7.3	14.8	6.7	5.8
	Variance	6.86	0.48	0.62	0.32	2.24
	Standard Deviation	2.62	0.79	0.69	0.57	1.50
	Standard Error	0.34	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.19
	Confidence Level (95.0%)	0.68	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.39
	C.V.%	4.0	14.7	5.1	10.5	70.3
Inbred lines (Count=430)	Minimum	52.8	2.4	10.8	2.3	0.01
	Mean	67.5	4.2	13.4	4.9	1.6
	Maximum	72.7	8.0	14.8	7.5	10.6
	Variance	7.73	0.79	1.17	0.85	2.04
	Standard Deviation	2.78	0.89	1.08	0.92	1.42
	Standard Error	0.13	0.89	0.05	0.04	0.07
	Confidence Level (95.0%)	0.26	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.14
	C.V.%	4.1	21.2	8.0	18.9	88.2

documented genetic and phenotypic variability for grain composition traits in maize (Smith, 1990; Whitt *et al.*, 2002; Has *et al.*, 2004; Uribelarrea *et al.*, 2004; Duarte *et al.*, 2005; Pollak and Scott, 2005; Reynolds *et al.*, 2005; Berardo *et al.*, 2009).

Turda - Romania maize genotypes have great phenotypic and genetic variability, consisting of local populations, varieties, synthetics and single-crosses, double-crosses, and three-way hybrids. Genotype germplasm sources range from very early to late and from dent to flint grain characteristics (Has *et al.*, 2004).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the variability existing for some chemical components of the grain in a large range of maize "TURDA" germplasm and to identify genotypes that could be interesting in term of nutritional value.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Maize samples

Maize samples used in this study consisted of 754 accessions from "TURDA" germplasm collection, among which there were 265 local populations (landraces), collected in different Romanian regions (Transylvania and Moldavia); 59 synthetics/composites among which 30 synthetics created at ARDS Turda and 29 synthetics acquired from different countries (Spain, Italy, Germany, University of Minnesota, University of Pennsylvania); 430 "TURDA" inbred lines.

The local populations used in this study have been created in more stages:

– after middle part of the XVIIth century, maize was introduced in south and east part of Romania from Turkey (flint type);
– in west part of Romania (Transylvania region) maize was introduced in the first part of XVIIIth century from Italy (flint type);
– in the last part of XIXth century and first part of XXth century, maize has been brought from USA and Argentina, especially dent type (Has, 2006).



FIGURE 1 - Frequency distribution of the three groups of genotypes by their grain content.

All local populations (landraces), synthetics and inbred lines are currently used in the framework of breeding and genetic program at the Agricultural Research Station, Turda - Romania (ARS Turda). The studied genotypes differed by germplasm source, grain type, maturity classification (very early, early, intermediate and late) and grain appearance and color.

Experimental designs

These genotypes were grown at the Agricultural Research Station, Turda - Romania (Transylvania region), in 2006. Each group of genotypes was grown in separate but adjacent trials. Experimental plots were 2-rows, 5m-long, with 0.7m spacing between two rows without replications. Plant densities averaged 60 000 plants/hectare in each trial.

At least six plants in each experimental plot were sib-pollinated by pollen from the same plot to avoid xenia effects. Approximately five hand-pollinated ears per row were harvested, after physiological maturity, and bulked for chemical analysis. i.e. protein, fat, starch, fiber, and ash. In addition, for each plot 50 grains from the middle of each were removed and used for measure moisture concentration. For each plot, a representative 50-g sample of the grain was ground, and the concentration of starch, protein, oil, fiber and ash in the ground (flour) sample was determined with a Dickey-John Instalab 600 near-infrared reflectance analyzer, after curve calibration.

Statistical analysis of maize germplasm

All grain physical quality tests were performed in duplicate, and the mean value was analyzed statistically. Analyses of variance (ANOVA) using a one-factor model without replications were done for each trait and for each group of genotypes (Ceapoiu, 1968), as well as Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed to express the relationship among characters.

RESULTS

Description of variability

In all trials, coefficients of phenotypic variation were over 5% for most grain components (Table 1); they were higher for percentage of oil (12.3 to 21.2%), fiber (10.5 to 18.9%) and ash (51.1 to 88.2%). Although, there is little variation in the percentage of starch in the germplasm studied here, there appears to be differences in the percentage of recoverable starch in these materials. In the same Table 1 it was also evident that local populations showed starch contents ranging between 57.1% and 68.9%. The range of variation observed for synthetics was larger than in local populations, ranging between 60.1% and 72.6%. Among synthetics some interesting forms with high level of starch content were identified: Tu SRR Comp. A (Comp. B) (1) (71.8%), Tu SRR Comp. B (Comp. A) (1) (69.6%), Tu SRR 5D (2I) (69.6%), Tu Comp. A (10) (69.5%) (Table 2).

Inbred lines were, on average, the most divergent in grain starch concentration (range value 19.9) as compared to landraces (range value 11.8) and synthetics (range value 12.5) (Fig. 1).

About 100 genotypes have been characterized by high starch content, with an increased *per se* value. Some of them are "TURDA" inbred lines that were identified with high starch content (>71%) in grain (Table 3). Most of these inbred lines are characterized by dent or semi-dent grain type. Among "TURDA" inbred lines were identified some interesting forms with high level of starch content: TC 384 AcmsC (72.5%), TC 384 AcmsT (72.2%), TE 210 (72.1%), TC 378 (72.0%). All these genotypes characterized by high starch grain content may be used as high starch maize parents in a breeding program. Either pedigree selection or recurrent selection could be used to increase the percentage of starch in grains.

The oil percentage ranged from a low level of 2.4% (inbred lines) to a high level of 9.1% (local populations) (Table 1). Local populations showed oil concentration (is in 5.4%) ranging between 3.8% and 9.1%. Among local populations some interesting forms with high level of oil concentration were identified: Blaj (Veza)/01 (7.3%), Iclod/01 (7.0%), Salva/01 (7.1%), Sarmisegetuza/01 (7.1%), and Vanatori/01 (7.1%) (Table 2). The data about synthetics showed a range among the genotypes for oil concentration of 3.5% to 7.3%. The same range of variation (5.26 and 7.17%) was observed by Berardo *et al.* (2009) in a collection of 93 landraces. High oil concentrations were found in the following synthetics: Tu Syn 1 (7.1%), Tu Syn 2 (7.0%) and Tu Syn (3) (per se) (1) (7.3%). All these genotypes characterized by high oil grain content may be used as high oil maize source material in a breeding program. These high oil local populations and synthetics have a large reduction in the starchy endosperm (Table 2) and most of them are characterized by flint or semi-flint grain type. For this germplasm Smith (1990) supported that pedigree selection has been used to develop some elite high oil lines.

Inbred lines showed the highest mean value for oil percentage among the genotypes analyzed. Some of inbred lines were identified with a high concentration in oil (Table 3). All these genotypes characterized by high oil grain content may be used as high oil maize parent in a breeding program.

Analyses of protein showed that the percentage ranged from a low level of 10.8% for inbred lines to a high level of 15.6% for local populations. Some of local populations were identified with high grain

TABLE 2 - *Local populations and synthetics with increased* per se *values for their quality grain content.*

	Protein	Oil	Starch %	Fiber	Ash	Grain type
Local populations						
Acatari/02	14.8	6.6	60.1	6.1	3.6	Flint + Semi-flint
Apoldu de Sus/01	14.0	6.7	62.2	6.5	4.9	Flint
Baita Cainelui de Sus/99	14.2	6.1	64.0	6.7	3.1	Semi-dent
Berind CN26-84/99	13.4	6.2	63.7	5.5	3.2	Flint + Semi-flint
Beriu (sugary)/99	11.3	9.1	57.1	4.7	7.2	sugary
Blaj (Veza)/01	14.6	7.3	59.3	6.6	5.9	Flint
Bradu B-18/01	13.8	6.2	63.4	6.1	3.4	Semi-dent
Castori/03	14.2	6.6	61.8	6.3	4.5	Semi-flint
Campeni/01	13.9	6.5	63.0	6.6	4.1	Semi-dent
Carnesti/01	15.0	6.9	59.5	6.6	4.9	Flint
Coldau/01	14.2	6.1	62.9	6.3	3.4	Flint
Cornesti/01	14.0	6.2	61.7	5.3	3.9	Flint
Danes/01	14.9	6.6	61.4	6.9	4.2	Semi-flint
Dumbravita/03	14.4	6.1	63.2	6.6	3.9	Semi-flint
Feldioara/01	15.0	6.2	62.8	7.0	3.4	Semi-flint
Geoagiu/01	15.3	6.1	62.0	6.8	2.9	Semi-flint
Ghiula/04	15.2	6.7	60.2	7.0	5.5	Flint
Gurghiu/04	14.6	6.2	61.3	5.9	4.6	Semi-flint
Hadareni/01	14.5	6.3	62.4	6.7	3.4	Semi-flint
Iclod/01	15.1	7.0	60.3	7.2	5.0	Semi-flint
Ighiu/01	14.9	6.3	62.3	6.9	3.8	Semi-flint
Lujerdiu/04	13.0	6.6	61.8	5.3	5.7	Flint
Marunt Alb de Virstea/99	13.6	6.3	62.4	5.1	3.9	Flint
Mihaiesti CN-8/99	13.7	6.4	63.5	6.5	4.0	Flint
Ohaba/03	13.1	6.8	61.9	5.4	4.7	Semi-flint
Rodna/01	14.6	6.5	62.3	7.0	4.0	Flint
Salva/01	15.5	7.1	59.3	7.2	4.9	Semi-flint
Sarmisegetuza/01	14.7	7.1	60.4	7.3	5.0	Flint
Satu Lung/01	15.6	6.7	60.2	7.1	4.4	Semi-flint
Sanpetru de Campie/01	14.1	6.2	63.5	6.5	3.8	Flint
Santana de Mures/01	14.1	6.3	61.6	5.5	3.6	Flint + Semi-flint
Secuieni/01	14.2	6.3	62.2	6.0	3.8	Flint
Stanceni/03	12.6	6.1	63.5	4.8	3.4	Flint
Susenii Bargaului/01	14.7	6.4	61.2	6.3	3.7	Flint
Sona/01	14.7	6.4	62.4	7.1	3.7	Dent
Telciu/01	13.7	6.2	63.2	5.9	3.9	Flint
Uriu Ilisua/03	13.6	6.6	61.9	6.0	3.4	Semi-flint
Vanători/01	14.2	7.1	60.1	6.6	5.1	Flint
Zetea (B145-84)/99	13.6	6.4	62.2	5.4	4.0	Semi-flint
Synthetics						
Tu Syn 1	13.2	7.1	60.9	5.2	4.6	Flint
Tu Syn 2	13.8	7.0	60.1	5.6	4.8	Flint
Tu Syn (3) (per se) (1)	13.7	7.3	60.8	6.3	4.9	Flint
Tu SRR 6I (5D)	13.3	6.3	63.1	5.3	3.7	Flint
Tu SRR 2I (5D) (1)	14.8	6.1	61.9	5.7	3.5	Flint
Syn 54 Marano - Italia	13.5	6.5	62.6	5.4	4.4	Flint
Syn 55 Marano - Italia	13.6	6.4	61.3	4.6	3.7	Flint
Syn 57 Marano - Italia	14.1	6.8	61.8	6.2	5.8	Flint
Syn 66 Marano - Italia	13.1	6.1	63.3	4.9	3.5	Flint
Coruna Early – Spania	14.1	6.4	62.8	6.1	4.4	Flint
Sarria	13.8	6.3	64.3	6.4	4.8	Flint
Coruna Prolific Syn	14.3	6.4	61.8	6.0	3.7	Semi-flint

TABLE 3 - *"TURDA" inbred lines with increased* per se *values for their quality grain content.*

No.	Inbred line	Grain type	Grain content				
			Protein	Oil	Starch %	Fiber	Ash
1.	T 169acmsC	Dent	11.7	3.6	71.3	3.9	0.5
2.	TC 182	Flint	12.8	2.6	71.9	4.1	0.3
3.	TD 246	Dent	10.8	4.2	71.3	4.8	1.0
4.	TD 270 Nrf C	Dent	12.0	3.0	71.6	3.6	1.0
5.	TD 270 cmsC	Dent	11.4	3.4	71.4	3.7	1.2
6.	TD 276	Semi-dent	12.4	3.8	71.1	5.2	0.9
7.	TE 210	Dent	11.7	3.4	72.1	4.7	0.8
8.	TC 321	Dent	12.1	3.5	71.4	4.7	0.1
9.	TC 330A	Semi-dent	13.0	2.4	71.8	3.4	0.2
10.	TC 354	Semi-dent	12.6	3.6	71.2	4.4	0.2
11.	TC 362	Dent	12.7	3.9	71.5	5.4	0.2
12.	TC 374	Semi-dent	13.5	3.6	71.2	3.2	0.2
13.	TC 378	Semi-dent	12.9	2.5	72.0	3.8	0.3
14.	TC 384A Nrf	Dent	11.7	3.1	71.7	3.6	1.9
15.	TC 384A cmsC	Dent	11.8	2.9	72.5	3.8	1.1
16.	TC 384 A cmsT	Dent	12.4	2.9	72.2	4.1	1.5
17.	TC 384 B	Semi-dent	12.7	2.5	71.4	3.4	0.8
18.	TD 375	Semi-dent	12.2	3.1	71.9	4.6	0.7
19.	TE 325	Dent	12.8	3.2	71.4	4.8	1.0
20.	TA 439	Dent	13.2	2.7	71.3	4.1	0.6
21.	TC 344A	Dent	15.2	7.6	58.1	7.2	5.5
22.	TC 334	Dent	15.1	7.5	59.0	7.5	6.8
23.	TC 106	Flint	16.4	7.5	55.1	7.1	8.0
24.	TC 375	Dent	14.7	7.1	60.3	7.3	4.3
25.	T 442	Flint	15.6	7.2	56.1	6.2	6.6
26.	TC 336	Flint	15.3	6.8	59.1	6.6	6.9
27.	TC 221	Flint	15.4	6.7	58.6	6.5	6.3

content in protein and oil too (Table 2): Carnesti/01 (15.5% protein and 6.9% oil), Ghiula/04 (15.2% protein and 6.7% oil), Iclod/01 (15.1% protein and 7.0% oil), Salva/01 (15.5% protein and 7.1 oil), Satu Lung/01 (15.6% protein and 6.7% oil). Work at the University of Illinois has also shown that protein varied from 8-11% in maize (SMITH, 1990).

The mean values recorded for fiber content were found in the range of 2.3% to 7.5%. The following inbred lines (Table 3) exhibited maximum grain fiber and oil content too: TC 334, TC 375, TC 344A and TC 106. Mean values for grain ash content ranged from 0.01% to 10.6%. Some genotypes, such as: TC 382 (10.6%), TA 25 (9.6%), TC 106 (8.0%), TC 336 (6.9%) exhibited high grain ash contents (Table 3).

The local population showed a larger variability and higher oil concentration (max value = 9.1%) when compared to inbred lines (max value = 8.0%).

According to Table 1 and Fig. 1, CV values for grain content reflect:

– lower diversity for starch and protein concentration: 3.0 to 4.1%, respectively 5.1 to 8.0% for all germplasm analyzed;
– medium diversity for oil (local populations = 12.3% and synthetics = 14.7%) and fiber concentration;
– high diversity for oil (inbred lines 21.5%) and minerals concentration for all genotypes analyzed.

Phenotypic correlations in the three groups of genotypes

Starch content was negatively and significantly correlated with protein, oil, fiber and ash per grain

TABLE 4 - *Phenotypic correlations among grain quality traits in maize.*

4.1. Local populations

Trait	Starch	Protein	Oil	Fiber
Protein	-0.50[0]	–		
Oil	-0.87[0]	0.20*	–	
Fiber	-0.58[0]	0.73*	0.58*	–
Ash	-0.80[0]	0.18*	0.90*	0.52*

N = 265

4.2. Synthetics

Trait	Starch	Protein	Oil	Fiber
Protein	-0.46[0]	–		
Oil	-0.93[0]	0.22	–	
Fiber	-0.32[0]	0.59*	0.38*	–
Ash	-0.87[0]	0.22	0.92*	0.30*

N = 59

4.3. Inbred lines

Trait	Starch	Protein	Oil	Fiber
Protein	-0.62[0]	–		
Oil	-0.85[0]	0.39*	–	
Fiber	-0.52[0]	0.68*	0.66*	–
Ash	-0.66[0]	0.11	0.69*	0.28*

N = 430

* = Significant at 5% level of probability.

content for all groups of germplasm analyzed (Table 4).

The results showed that an increase in starch content may decrease protein, oil, fiber and ash content ultimately, so breeding for high starch genotypes require a moderate balance among these quality grain traits. The results are in accord with SALEEM *et al.* (2008).

The data presented in Table 4 indicated that grain oil contents were positively and significantly correlated with protein, fiber and ash contents. The results showed that an increase in oil contents may increase also protein contents, so breeding for high oil and high protein genotypes may be made simultaneously.

Negative and significant correlation was found between ash and starch contents in all genotypes analyzed. The results showed that the breeding for high ash contents may cause a significant decrease in grain starch content.

DISCUSSION

The interest of this material for a resource program

The results of this study emphasized a great variability in the 3 groups of genotypes. As these groups represented only a little part of Turda-Romania available material for a resources program, one can imagine the amount of variability which could be used by breeders. And as expected from a large phenotypic pool of variability, the variability for *per se* performances revealed by local population and synthetics was enough great (LAVERGNE *et al.*, 1991).

The structure of the variability following in the geographic origin

For all characters, the variation was very continuous in the whole material: no separated groups of local populations were observed. This result was consistent with those of BRANDOLINI (1971) who suggested that successive introductions of American hybrids in Europe had led to homogeneous European maize. Also, PAVLICIC (1971) observed a great similarity among flint maize varieties independent of the origin.

CONCLUSIONS

The screening of TURDA-Romanian germplasm revealed the presence of a wide phenotypic variability for oil, fiber and ash concentration.

Although there is little variation in the percentage starch among normal germplasm, there appears to be differences in the percentage of starch in these materials.

Maize local populations and synthetics with high oil content in grains may be used as source material for recurrent selection for increased oil content. Pedigree selection may be used to develop elite high oil lines. Inbred lines showing the highest mean values for oil percentage could be used in combination with normal elite lines to make hybrids with increased oil content.

The results showed that an increase in starch content may decrease protein, oil, fiber and ash contents ultimately, so breeding for high starch genotypes require a moderate balance among these quality grain traits.

Positive and significant correlations were found between oil and protein contents. Consequently, an increase in oil contents may increase also protein contents, so breeding for high oil and high protein genotypes may be made simultaneously. Negative and significant correlation was found between ash contents and starch contents at all genotypes analyzed. Therefore, the breeding for high ash contents level may caused significant decreased in grain starch content.

REFERENCES

Berardo N., G. Mazzinelli, P. Valoti, P. Laganà, R. Redaelli, 2009 Characterization of maize germplasm for the chemical composition of the grain. J. Agric. Food Chem. **57:** 2378-2384.

Brandolini A., 1971 Preliminary report on south European and Mediteranian germplasm. pp. 108-116. *In:* Proc. Fifth Meeting of the Maize and Sorghum Section of Eucarpia.

Ceapoiu N., 1968 The theoretical bases of statistical análysis. Ed. Agro-Silvica, Bucuresti.

Duarte A.P., S.C. Mason, D.S. Jackson, J.C. Kiehl, 2005 Grain quality of Brazilian maize genotypes as influenced by nitrogen level. Crop Sci. **45:** 1958-1964.

Has I., V. Has, I. Cabulea, C. Grecu, A. Copandean, C. Calborean, V. Legman, 2004 Maize breeding for special uses. Probl. Genet. Teor. Aplic. **36:** 1-20.

Has I., V. Has, S. Strajeru, 2006 Some facts regarding maize germplasm in Romania. CIMMYT, Maize Germplasm Conservation Network Meeting, 2-5 May, 2006, El Batan, Mexic.

Lavergne V., M. Lefort-Buson, J.J. Daudin, A. Charcosset, J.P. Sampoux, A. Gallais, 1991 Genetic variability among populations of maize germplasm. 1. Comparative analysis of top cross values and *per se* values of populations. Maydica **36:** 227-236.

Mohammadi S.A., B.M. Prasanna, 2003 Analysis of genetic diversity in crop plants: salient statistical tools and considerations. Crop Sci. **43:** 1235-1248.

Pavlicic J., 1971 Contribution to a preliminary classification of European open-pollinatea maize varieties. pp. 93-107. *In:* Proc. Fifth Meeting Maize and Sorghum Section of Eucarpia.

Pollak L.M., M.P. Scott, 2005 Breeding for grain quality traits. Maydica **50:** 247-257.

Reif J.C., S. Hamrit, M. Heckenberger, W. Schipprack, H.P. Maurer, M. Bohn, A.E. Melchinger, 2005 Trends in genetic diversity among European maize cultivars and their parental components during the past 50 years. Theor. Appl. Genet. **111:** 838-845.

Reynolds T.L., M.A. Nemeth, K.C. Glenn, W.P. Ridley, J.D. Astwood, 2005 Natural variability in metabolites in maize grain: Difference due to genetic background. J. Agric. Food Chem. **53:** 10061-10067.

Saleem M., M. Ahsan, M. Aslam, A. Majeed, 2008 Comparative evaluation and correlation estimates for grain yield and quality attributes in maize Pak. J. Bot. **40:** 2361-2367.

Smith O.S., 1990 Breeding maize for specialty uses. pp. 345-349. *In:* Proc. XVth Congress of Maize and Sorghum EUCARPIA. Baden, Austria.

Uribelarrea M., F.E. Below, S.P. Moose, 2004 Grain composition and productivity of maize hybrids derived from the Illinois protein strains in response to variable nitrogen supply. Crop Sci. **44:** 1593-1600.

Whitt R.S., L.M. Wilson, M.I. Tenaillon, B.S. Gaut, E.S. Buckler IV, 2002 Genetic diversity and selection in the maize starch pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99:** 12959-12962.